



Mail Stop Amendment
Attorney Docket No. 25857

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Wen-kun Yang, et al.

Confirmation No. 4487

Serial No. 10/725,933

Art Unit: 2891

Filed: December 3, 2003

Examiner: David A. Zarneke

For: **FAN OUT TYPE WAFER LEVEL PACKAGE STRUCTURE AND METHOD OF THE SAME**

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313

Sir:

Submitted herewith for filing in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is the following:

- (1) Transmittal Letter; and
- (2) Response to Restriction Requirement.

If an Extension of Time under 37 CFR §1.136 is required and has not been separately requested, please consider this Transmittal Letter as including a request for such Extension of Time and as a further authorization to charge any fee for such Extension of Time, as may be required by 37 CFR §1.17, to Deposit Account No. 14-0112. Also, please charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, in connection with this matter to Deposit Account No. 14-0112.

Respectfully submitted,
NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC

Gary M. Nath

Reg. No. 26,965

Gregory B. Kang

Reg. No. 45,273

Derek Richmond

Reg. No. 45,771

Customer No. 20529

June 30, 2005

NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC
1030 15th Street, N.W.
6th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
Tel: (202) 775-8383
Fax: (202) 775-8396



Mail Stop Amendment
Attorney Docket No. 25857

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of:

Wen-kun Yang, et al.

Confirmation No. 4487

Serial No. 10/725,933

Art Unit: 2891

Filed: December 3, 2003

Examiner: David A. Zarneke

For: **FAN OUT TYPE WAFER LEVEL PACKAGE STRUCTURE AND METHOD OF THE SAME**

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a full and complete response to the Office Action having a mailing date of June 7, 2005. The one month shortened statutory period to respond was set to expire July 7, 2005. In view of the following election and remarks, the Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner conduct a first substantive examination on the merits.

REMARKS

In the outstanding Office Action, claims 1 – 47 were subjected to a restriction requirement.

By this Response to Restriction Requirement, an election without traverse is made.

RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT SUMMARY

The Examiner has required restriction of claims 1 – 47 to a single invention under 35 U.S.C.

§121. Claims 1 – 47 were subjected to a Restriction Requirement as follows:

Group I: claims 1 – 29 are asserted to be drawn to a process; and

Group II: claims 30 – 47 are asserted to be drawn to a product.

Response

Applicants elect to continue prosecution of Group II, claims 30 – 47 without traverse.


Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner examine the claims of Group II pending in this application.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner contact the undersigned attorney if it is believed that such contact will expedite the prosecution of the application.

In the event this paper is not timely filed, Applicants petition for an appropriate extension of time. Please charge any fee deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 14-0112.

Respectfully submitted,
NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC



Gary M. Nath

Registration No. 26,965

Gregory B. Kang

Registration No. 45,273

Derek Richmond

Registration No. 45,771

Customer No. 20529

Date: June 30, 2005

NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC
1030 Fifteenth Street, N.W.
Sixth Floor
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 775-8383